

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,837 12/28/2000		12/28/2000	Judith C. Espejo	BS00-157	5211
36192	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR (	COLBUR	N LLP	GARY, ERIKA A		
55 GRIFFIN				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				2681	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/749,837	ESPEJO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Erika A. Gary	2681				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No.	ovember 2005.					
2a)□							
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)[☐ 6)[⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is abjected to but the Events and adjusted to be adjus						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: "interactive voice response system" should be "method". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson, US Patent Number 6,327,363 (hereinafter Henderson) in view of Laybourn et al., US Patent Number 6,480,710 (hereinafter Laybourn).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Henderson teaches an interactive voice response system (and method) for prepaid wireless services comprising: a peripheral device (fig. 4: ref. 400) in communication with a mobile switching system (col. 7: Lines 37-41), the mobile switching system capable of communicating with at least one wireless device (col. 7: Lines 37-41), and an IVR application (fig. 4: ref. 408) on the peripheral device comprising a menu driven system adapted to receive information from a customer (col. 9: Lines 3-7), an intelligent peripheral communicating with the peripheral device (fig. 4: ref. 402), wherein the intelligent peripheral plays voice messages through a voice path to the mobile switching system (col. 11: lines 8-10; col. 7: Lines 37-41), wherein the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,837

Art Unit: 2681

peripheral device directs the customer to interact with the IVR application and connects the intelligent peripheral with the mobile switching system when the IVR service for the customer is required (col. 8: Lines 2-7; col. 9: Lines 3-7), and wherein the menu driven system responds to the information received from the customer by reciting a rate plan that is the current rate plan and features of the customer, and wherein the rate plan is provided to the customer by the intelligent peripheral through the voice path (col. 15: Lines 5-6, 25-30).

Henderson does not specifically disclose the mobile switching system but does teach that the invention could perform equally well with other network configurations (i.e. wireless systems) (col. 7: Lines 37-41). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to implement Henderson's interactive voice response system in a wireless environment with a mobile switching system, as it is well known in the art to implement landline services and features in a wireless system.

Henderson also does not specifically teach wherein the wireless device automatically provides a mobile identification number to identify the wireless device. However, Laybourn teaches this limitation.

Laybourn discloses a system and method for managing prepaid wireless service wherein the wireless device automatically provides a mobile identification number to identify the wireless device (col. 5: Lines 3-11).

Henderson and Laybourn are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, that is, interactive voice response systems for pre-paid wireless services. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art Art Unit: 2681

to modify Henderson to include Laybourn. The motivation for this combination would have been to speed up the system by automatically extracting any possible information so that the user does not have to manually enter it.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 13-15, Henderson teaches reciting the customer's account information (col. 15: lines 5-6, 25-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to specifically recite the name of the rate plan, features, and monthly access fees to give the customer more detailed information.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, 9, and 16-19 Henderson discloses the peripheral device is an SCP (fig. 4: ref. 400) that communicates using IN TCAP messaging or TCP/IP (col. 10: line 2)

Regarding claims 11 and 20, Henderson discloses the Intelligent Peripheral plays the rate plan in voice messages to the customer through the voice path to the mobile switching system and the at least one wireless device (col. 7: lines 37-41; col. 13: Lines 38-40; col. 15: lines 5-6, 25-30).

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika A. Gary whose telephone number is 571-272-7841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EAG December 5, 2005

ERIKA A GARY